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#### Abstract

Cu}\left(\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{ClN}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cl} 2\),,$M_{r}=703.9$, triclinic, $P 1, a=12.584(2), b=17.108(2), c=$ 7.383 (1) $\AA$ A,$~ a=96.57$ (1), $\beta=99.15$ (2), $\gamma=$ $97.09(2)^{\circ}, U=1542.6 \AA^{3}$ at $295 \mathrm{~K}, D_{x}=1.516, D_{m}$ (flotation, trichloroethylene/carbon tetrachloride) $=$ $1.534 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{m}^{-3}, Z=2, \mu($ Mo $K \alpha)=1.12 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$. The structure was determined from intensities of 5121 independent reflections and refined by block-diagonal least squares to an $R=0.031$. The complexes are centrosymmetric, the Cu atoms being on the inversion centers. The coordination geometry around the Cu atom is square planar with two N atoms of the diazepam molecules and the two Cl atoms in trans position.

Introduction. It is well documented that copper exists in the human brain to assist its development, maintenance, and function. Therefore a study of interactions between copper and psychotherapeutic drugs may offer a clue in the elucidation of intricate phenomena in psychosis. In this report, we have carried out X-ray diffraction analysis of the $\mathrm{Cu}^{\mathrm{II}}$ complex of diazepam (diazepam = 7-chloro-1,3-dihydro-1-methyl-5-phenyl-2 H -1,4-benzodiazepin-2-one), $\mid \mathrm{CuCl}_{2}-$ (diazepam) $)_{2}$, which was obtained from an ethanol solution of diazepam and anhydrous $\mathrm{CuCl}_{2}$. In the course of our study, an X-ray analysis on the complex $\mid \mathrm{CuCl}_{2}$ (diazepam) $)_{2}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2} .0 \cdot 33 \mathrm{CHCl}_{3} \mid$ has been reported (Mosset, Tuchagues, Bonnet. Haran \& Sharrock, 1980). Structural differences between both complexes will be discussed.

A crystal of dimensions $0.51 \times 0.26 \times 0.26 \mathrm{~mm}$ was mounted with a aligned on the $\varphi$ axis of the Rigaku AFC-5 automatic four-circle diffractometer at the Institute for Molecular Science. Intensities of 7408 reflections with $2<2 \theta<55^{\circ}$ were measured using graphite-monochromated Mo $K a$ radiation ( $i=$ $0.7107 \AA$ ), of which 5121 independent reflections $||F|$ $\rightarrow 3 \sigma(|F|) \mid$ were used for the structure determination. The reflections were corrected for Lp but no correction was made for absorption.
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Table 1. Atomic positional parameters $\left(\times 10^{5}\right.$ for Cu and $\mathrm{Cl}, \times 10^{4}$ for $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{N}$ and $\mathrm{O}, \times 10^{3}$ for H$)$ and equivalent isotropic thermal parameters with e.s.d.'s in parentheses

|  | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | $B_{\text {eu }}\left(\AA^{2}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Cu}(A)$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2.44 (1) |
| $\mathrm{Cu}(B)$ | 50000 | 50000 | 50000 | 2.44 (1) |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(1) A$ | -1790 (7) | 9994 (4) | 22018 (12) | 4.31 (3) |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(1) B$ | 59305 (7) | 46095 (7) | 75394 (12) | $5 \cdot 27$ (5) |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(2) A$ | -62351 (6) | -11957 (5) | 4975 (15) | $5 \cdot 12$ (2) |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(2) B$ | 7612 (8) | 57258 (7) | 118643 (13) | 5.96 (5) |
| $\mathrm{N}(1) A$ | -1927 (2) | -2322 (1) | 1049 (3) | 2.93 (7) |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(1^{\prime}\right) A$ | --1885 (3) | -3177 (2) | 591 (4) | 3.78 (9) |
| $\mathrm{C}(2) A$ | -974 (2) | -1854 (2) | 1898 (4) | 2.89 (7) |
| $\mathrm{O}\left(2^{\prime}\right) A$ | -118(2) | -2113(1) | 2221 (3) | 3.99 (6) |
| $\mathrm{C}(3) A$ | - 1060 (2) | -978 (2) | 2370 (4) | 2.73 (7) |
| $\mathrm{N}(4) \mathrm{A}$ | -1227 (2) | -639(1) | 628 (3) | 2.48 (5) |
| $\mathrm{C}(5) A$ | -2237 (2) | -799(1) | -363 (3) | 2.39 (7) |
| $\mathrm{C}(6) A$ | -4153 (2) | -1089 (1) | 38 (4) | 2.61 (7) |
| C (7) A | -4988 (2) | -1546 (2) | 567 (4) | 2.99 (7) |
| $\mathrm{C}(8) \mathrm{A}$ | -4846 (2) | -2259 (2) | 1225 (4) | $3 \cdot 25$ (7) |
| C(9)A | -3842 (2) | -2502 (2) | 1346 (4) | 3.21 (7) |
| C(10)A | -2950 (2) | -2044 (1) | 877 (3) | 3.58 (7) |
| $\mathrm{C}(11) A$ | -3118 (2) | -1326 (1) | 198 (3) | 2.34 (7) |
| $\mathrm{C}(12) A$ | -2490 (2) | -449 (1) | -2098 (4) | 2.58 (7) |
| C(13)A | -1952 (2) | 286 (2) | -2323 (4) | $3 \cdot 10$ (7) |
| C(14)A | -2155 (3) | 588 (2) | -3971 (5) | 4.04 (8) |
| C(15)A | -2932 (3) | 181 (2) | -5397 (4) | 4.83 (11) |
| $\mathrm{C}(16) A$ | -3500 (3) | -534 (2) | -5175 (4) | 4.73 (9) |
| C(17) A | -3275 (3) | -857 (3) | -- 3549 (3) | $3 \cdot 46$ (7) |
| $\mathrm{N}(1) B$ | 3172 (2) | 6995 (1) | 6329 (3) | 3.47 (7) |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(1^{\prime}\right) \boldsymbol{B}$ | 2740 (3) | 7574 (2) | 5198 (6) | 5.82 (12) |
| C(2) $B$ | 4256 (2) | 6930 (2) | 6443 (4) | 3.76 (8) |
| $\mathrm{O}\left(2^{\prime}\right) B$ | 4859 (2) | 7337 (1) | 5684 (4) | 5.98 (8) |
| $\mathrm{C}(3) B$ | 4632 (2) | 6290 (2) | 7540 (4) | 3.38 (8) |
| $\mathrm{N}(4) B$ | 4075 (2) | 5515 (1) | 6562 (3) | 2.46 (5) |
| C(5) B | 3083 (2) | 5312 (1) | 6731 (3) | $2 \cdot 20$ (6) |
| C(6)B | 1938 (2) | 5568 (2) | 9129 (4) | 2.71 (7) |
| C(7) $B$ | 1402 (2) | 6069 (2) | 10136 (4) | 3.34 (7) |
| C(8)B | 1363 (2) | 6844 (2) | 9801 (4) | 3.64 (8) |
| C(9) $B$ | 1923 (2) | 7133 (2) | 8504 (4) | $3 \cdot 38$ (8) |
| $\mathrm{C}(10) B$ | 2539 (2) | 6660 (1) | 7541 (4) | 2.65 (7) |
| $\mathrm{C}(11) \mathrm{B}$ | 2511 (2) | 5857 (1) | 7814 (3) | $2 \cdot 29$ (6) |
| $\mathrm{C}(12) B$ | 2478 (2) | 4530 ( I$)$ | 5815 (3) | $2 \cdot 30$ (7) |
| C(13) $B$ | 3014 (2) | 3878 (I) | 5459 (4) | 2.93 (7) |
| C(14) $B$ | 2431 (3) | 3158 (2) | 4575 (4) | 4.00 (9) |
| C(15) $B$ | 1313 (2) | 3078 (2) | 4063 (4) | $4 \cdot 59$ (9) |
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Table 1 (cont.)

|  | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | $B_{\text {eq }}\left(\AA^{2}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C(16) $B$ | 773 (2) | 3717 (2) | 4414 (4) | 4.06 (8) |
| $\mathrm{C}(17) B$ | 1351 (2) | 4443 (2) | 5283 (4) | $3 \cdot 11$ (7) |
| $\mathrm{H}(1) A$ | -164 (2) | -89 (2) | 307 (4) | $3 \cdot 0$ (0.6) |
| $\mathrm{H}(2) A$ | -35 (2) | -68 (2) | 314 (4) | $3 \cdot 3$ (0.6) |
| $\mathrm{H}(3) A$ | -428 (2) | -60 (1) | -43 (3) | $2 \cdot 5$ (0.5) |
| H(4)A | -545 (2) | -256 (2) | 162 (4) | 4.4 (0.7) |
| H(5) $A$ | -372 (2) | -295 (2) | 174 (4) | $3 \cdot 2$ (0.6) |
| H(6)A | -156 (2) | 56 (2) | -137 (4) | 3.4 (0.6) |
| H (7) $A$ | -175 (2) | 106 (2) | -408 (4) | 4.5 (0.7) |
| $\mathrm{H}(8) A$ | -312 (3) | 35 (2) | -658 (5) | $6 \cdot 2$ (0.9) |
| $\mathrm{H}(9) A$ | -404 (3) | -80 (2) | -610 (5) | $6 \cdot 1$ (0.9) |
| $\mathrm{H}(10) A$ | -367 (2) | -134 (2) | -343 (4) | $3 \cdot 6$ (0.6) |
| $\mathrm{H}(11) A$ | -245 (3) | -340 (2) | -24 (5) | 6.0 (0.9) |
| $\mathrm{H}(12) A$ | -182 (3) | -343(2) | 167 (4) | $5 \cdot 2$ (0.8) |
| $\mathrm{H}(13) A$ | -123 (3) | -322 (2) | 9 (5) | $6 \cdot 3$ (0.9) |
| H (1) $B$ | 446 (2) | 634 (2) | 881 (4) | $3 \cdot 2$ (0.6) |
| $\mathrm{H}(2) \boldsymbol{B}$ | 546 (2) | 633 (2) | 755 (4) | $3 \cdot 4$ (0.6) |
| H(3) $B$ | 196 (2) | 503 (2) | 934 (4) | $3 \cdot 6$ (0.6) |
| $\mathrm{H}(4) B$ | 96 (2) | 717 (2) | 1044 (4) | $3 \cdot 7$ (0.7) |
| $\mathrm{H}(5) B$ | 190 (2) | 768 (2) | 821 (4) | 4.3 (0.7) |
| $\mathrm{H}(6) B$ | 375 (2) | 389 (2) | 586 (4) | $3 \cdot 0$ (0.6) |
| $\mathrm{H}(7) B$ | 283 (2) | 273 (2) | 439 (4) | $4 \cdot 1$ (0.7) |
| $\mathrm{H}(8) B$ | 91 (3) | 257 (2) | 350 (4) | $5 \cdot 2$ (0.8) |
| H(9)B | -2 (3) | 368 (2) | 405 (4) | $5 \cdot 1$ (0.8) |
| $\mathrm{H}(10) B$ | 98 (2) | 490 (2) | 550 (4) | 3.8 (0.7) |
| $\mathrm{H}(11) B$ | 196 (3) | 745 (2) | 493 (5) | 6.0 (0.9) |
| $\mathrm{H}(12) B$ | 297 (4) | 754 (3) | 392 (7) | 10.9 (1.4) |
| H(13) $B$ | 299 (4) | 805 (3) | 565 (7) | $11.8(1.6)$ |

The structure determination and refinements were performed using the Universal Crystallographic Computation Program System UNICS III (Sakurai \& Kobayashi, 1978). Coordinates of the Cu atom were obtained from a Patterson map and subsequent Fourier syntheses gave the positions of all the remaining non- H atoms. They were refined with individual
anisotropic thermal parameters. The positions of all the H atoms were determined from difference Fourier maps. When the shifts of all parameters were less than 0.1 of the e.s.d. except for that of the H atoms, the refinement was terminated with an $R=0.031$. Atomic scattering factors including anomalous scattering were taken from International Tables for X-ray Crystallography (1974). Final atomic coordinates are listed in Table 1.*

Discussion. The packing diagram is shown in Fig. 1. Two independent complex molecules are in the unit cell. They lie at the origin (complex A) or at point ( $\left(\frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{2}\right)$
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Fig. 1. Molecular packing viewed along c: $50 \%$ probability ellipsoids (ORTEP. Johnson. 1965).


Fig. 2. Bond distances $(\AA)$ and angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ for complex $A$ (upper values) and complex $B$.
(complex $B$ ). Their stacking cohesions are van der Waals interactions.

Bond lengths and angles within the molecules are given in Fig. 2 with the atom numbering. The complexation of the diazepam molecule to the Cu atom does not affect markedly its geometry as in the case of Mosset et al. (1980).

The phenyl ring bonded to $\mathrm{C}(5)$ (see Fig. 2) is in close proximity to the central Cu atom in complex $A$. The $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{C}(13)$ distance of 2.892 (3) $\AA \backslash \mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{H}(6)$ distance of $2.41 \AA$ l is much shorter than that in complex $B[3.046(3) \AA]$ and that in the study of Mosset et al. (1980) [3.01 (1) $\AA$ ]. The $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{Cl}$ bonds in complexes $A$ and $B$ are slightly different in length. This could be due to the close contact between the Cu atom and the phenyl ring bonded to $\mathrm{C}(5)$ in complex $A$. On the other hand, the $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}$ bond distances for complexes $A$ and $B$ are not influenced by this short contact. However, they are slightly shorter than those in the study of Mosset et al. (1980) [1.990 (8) $\AA$ |.

The dihedral angle between the $\mathrm{CuCl}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ plane and the phenyl ring bonded to $\mathrm{C}(5)$ for complex $A$ [65.1(4) ${ }^{\circ}$ ] is smaller than that for complex $B$ [ $71.1(4)^{\circ}$ ], while the dihedral angle between the
$\mathrm{CuCl}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ plane and the Cl -substituted phenyl ring for complex $A\left[60.7(4)^{\circ}\right]$ is larger than that for complex $B$ [ $\left.52.0(4)^{\circ}\right]$. The difference could be attributed to the short contact mentioned above. The phenyl rings in the diazepam molecules are at dihedral angles of 116.7 (4) and $113.7(4)^{\circ}$ for complexes $A$ and $B$ respectively.

The authors' thanks are due to Dr Koshiro Toriumi of the Institute for Molecular Science for the use of the four-circle diffractometer. The calculations were carried out on a FACOM M-150F computer of this University.
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#### Abstract

Cu}\left(\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}\right]\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2}, \quad M_{r}=538.8\), orthorhombic, $\mathrm{Pbca}, a=13.0888$ (5), $b=14.7638$ (6), $c=10.3534(4) \AA$ at $298.7(5) \mathrm{K}, \quad V=$ $2000.70(13) \AA^{3}, Z=4, D_{m}=1.79$ (2), $D_{c}=1.788$ $\mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{m}{ }^{-3}$. The structure was solved by the Fourier synthesis method and refined to a conventional $R$ of 0.051 for the 143 parameters varied and 1307 reflections used in the refinement. In this centrosymmetric complex there are four bonds from Cu to trans N and O donor atoms with an average bond distance of 1.976 (5) $\AA$, and two longer bonds to $\mathrm{ClO}_{4}^{-}$ $O$ atoms of 2.747 (4) $\AA$. Other $O$ atoms in the anion are involved in intra- and intermolecular hydrogen bonding.


Introduction. The title compound is one in a series of complexes containing ring-substituted pyridine 1 -oxide ligands, and for which a correlative study between EPR spectral results and bonding parameters (as determined by X -ray techniques) is being made (West \& Kohrman, 1979; West \& Hartley, 1980). The ligand in this example is capable of forming a six-membered chelate ring. For comparative purposes a structure is known for another series complex containing a fivemembered chelate ring (West, Pavkovic \& Brown, 1980).

Crystals of the purple compound (provided by Professor D. X. West) were obtained upon slow evaporation from a nitromethane solution. A fragment (c) 1982 International Union of Crystallography


[^0]:    * Lists of structure factors and anisotropic thermal parameters have been deposited with the British Library Lending Division as Supplementary Publication No. SUP 36287 ( 28 pp.). Copies may be obtained through The Executive Secretary. International Union of Crystallography. 5 Abbey Square. Chester CHI 2HU. England.

